

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT (CPAR)**Construction****Name/Address of Contractor:**

Company Name: MDM CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Division Name:

Street Address: 45 21ST AVE E UNIT F

City: WEST FARGO

State/Province: ND Zip Code: 580787811

Country: USA

CAGE Code:

DUNS Number: 829388623

PSC: Y1DZ NAICS Code: 236220

Evaluation Type: Interim**Contract Percent Complete:****Period of Performance Being Assessed:** 02/17/2016 - 02/16/2017**Contract Number:** VA26314D0237 VA26315J0190 **Business Sector & Sub-Sector:** Construction**Contracting Office:** 636A6-CENTRAL IOWA HEALTH CARE **Contracting Officer:** ENRIQUE PEREZ **Phone Number:** 515-699-5716**Location of Work:**

FARGO VA HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

2101 ELM STREET

FARGO, ND 58102

Award Date: 02/17/2015 **Effective Date:** 02/06/2015**Completion Date:** 01/16/2017 **Estimated/Actual Completion Date:** 06/30/2017**Total Dollar Value:** \$3,682,442 **Current Contract Dollar Value:** \$3,682,442**Complexity:** Medium **Termination Type:** None**Competition Type:** Full and Open Competition after Exclusion of Sources **Contract Type:** Firm Fixed Price**Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed:****DUNS:****Effort:****DUNS:****Effort:****DUNS:****Effort:****Project Number:** 437-11-101**Project Title:**

REMODEL FOR ICU & DIALYSIS

Contract Effort Description:

THIS PROJECT RENOVATES (MULTIPHASE PROJECT) EXISTING ICU AND DIALYSIS SPACE THAT HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE IT WAS ORIGINALLY BUILT IN 1986. THE EXISTING SPACE DOES NOT MEET CURRENT VA GUIDELINES AND IS BEING UPDATED TO COMPLY WITH THE VA GUIDELINES FOR ROOM SIZE, TOILET FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS, ETC.

Small Business Utilization:

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

Evaluation Areas**Past Rating****Rating**

Quality:	Satisfactory	Very Good
Schedule:	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Cost Control:	Satisfactory	N/A
Management:	Satisfactory	Very Good
Utilization of Small Business:	N/A	N/A
Regulatory Compliance:	N/A	Very Good

Other Areas:

(1) MISC COR COMMENTS:	Satisfactory
(2) :	N/A
(3) :	N/A

Variance (Contract to Date):

Current Cost Variance (%): Variance at Completion (%):

Current Schedule Variance (%):

Assessing Official Comments:

QUALITY: COR (Dennis Langevin) Rating Suggested: Very Good, Comments: Construction is still underway. Work completed to date has been a quality product. The work taking place at this time appears to be high quality. The contractor has hired subcontractors who do very good, high quality work and have good reputations in the community with respect to their work. MDM Construction helped the VA work through some patient headwall light issues and provided a solution to use shorter lights to fit between the bed headwall units. MDM Construction staff and subcontractors have been coordinating work with the VA Engineering staff. Biomedical staff and the contractor's electrical subcontractor foreman stopped down into the project engineering office to discuss the rough in needs for some systems to ensure they were correct in terms of placement and application prior to installing saving time and money by not having to rework the rough in for the utilities. The contractor has helped deal with any issues that have arisen and provided high quality, cost effective solutions that have enhanced the project. Work between the trades has been coordinated and issues for the most part resolved by MDM Construction without the need for the A/E or VA Engineering. They are an excellent firm to work with.

SCHEDULE: COR (Dennis Langevin) Rating Suggested: Satisfactory, Comments: Construction is still underway. The project has suffered setbacks with respect to unforeseen site conditions. Mold was found at the exterior window wall during demolition activities. The A/E and MDM Construction responded and determined the best solution was to replace the exterior window wall to prevent the condensation and water infiltration issues. VA funding transfers are not always quick and the window wall had a long lead time, but the contractor did what he could to expedite the order and shipment. There have been times when the project could have been coordinated a bit better in terms of scheduling their staff and the subcontracted staff in order to complete some of the work more quickly. MDM Construction does work with the VA and tries to keep the project moving, but meeting the schedule is not always possible. MDM Construction and the VA are both looking at ways to ensure the transition from Phase 2 to Phase 3 work takes place in a timely and seamless manner. Both VA and MDM Construction will have work to do during the move and we are all trying to ensure the work will be coordinated and happen as fast as possible.

MANAGEMENT: COR (Dennis Langevin) Rating Suggested: Very Good, Comments: Construction is still underway. Staff from the office project manager(s) to the foreman on site are all easy to deal with, get a hold of and very responsive to the Government's needs and requests. Subcontracted work has taken place in a timely and coordinated manner. Scheduling of some activities and staffing levels could have been better during the rating period, but MDM Construction has always worked to try and keep the project on schedule and has been up front about items that impact the project timeline. For example, when mold was found at the exterior wall below the windows, the contractor worked with the VA and A/E to determine a solution, send in a price proposal (and resolve issues with the pricing quickly) so that VA could obtain funds for the modification and issue a Supplemental Agreement. All the while MDM Construction kept letting the VA staff know that the replacement window system would be take at least three months to arrive on site after the modification was issued and shop drawings were approved. MDM Construction provided updates as time went on and got the windows installed in a timely manner in below zero weather. There is a good rapport between MDM Construction and the VA Engineering Service staff. Issues are brought up immediately and dealt with in a timely manner. There are also no known issues or conflicts between MDM Construction and the VA's A/E for the project. Both firms get along and are reasonable. The contractor's billing system is adequate and they work well with the project COR to determine fair amounts for each line item on the pay requests each month.

REGULATORY COMPLIANCE: COR (Dennis Langevin) Rating Suggested: Exceptional CS rated to Very Good, Comments: Construction is still underway. There have been no regulatory or safety issues on the job site. There have been no reports of late or nonpayment to subcontractors, trafficking violations, tax delinquency, defective cost or pricing data, terminations, suspension and debarments. There are no known labor regulation issues. There were no FY2016 AWE inspection items to address or for improvement for this project. MDM Construction heard another Fargo VA project site was cited for not installing some batting or insulation above the ceiling at the temporary construction barrier and took the initiative on their own to ensure any temporary construction barriers they installed were brought up to the newly requested standard in areas where they couldn't build walls deck to deck due to obstructions above the ceiling. The environmental regulations and requirements to protect the existing site have been followed. There have not been any safety or regulatory issues and the contractor has been proactive in ensuring this is maintained.

OTHER AREAS: MDM Construction has been a very good contractor to work with, and they have the interests of the Government, patients, visitors and others in mind at they perform their work and help VA reach its end goal. MDM Construction has made every effort to be cooperative with the VA and meet the VA's needs or requests.

ADDITIONAL/OTHER: Please see COR comments per various rating areas. as the CS and AOR I expect the comments and suggestions made by the COR as accurate as they have been historically valid and accurate in the past. All COR comments were entered and final ratings were don based on requirements/rating definitions.

RECOMMENDATION:

Given what I know today about the contractor's ability to perform in accordance with this contract or order's most significant requirements, I would recommend them for similar requirements in the future.

Name and Title of Assessing Official:

Name: MICHAEL CASPER

Title: Branch Chief

Organization: NCO23

Phone Number: 515-699-5880 Email Address: michael.casper@va.gov

Date: 03/07/2017

Contractor Comments:

ADDITIONAL/OTHER: Contractor agrees with the ratings assigned.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.

Name and Title of Contractor Representative:

Name: Dan Walters

Title: President

Phone Number: 701-356-3956 Email Address: dwalters@mdmconst.com

Date: 03/07/2017

Review by Reviewing Official:

Review by Reviewing Official not required.

Name and Title of Reviewing Official:

Name:

Title:

Organization:

Phone Number: Email Address:

Date:

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY