

Questions and Answers for MATOC FA465921R0003 (Version Date: 16 Sep 22)

#	Question	Answer
1	The solicitation states a Bid Bond is required. Given that no project will be awarded would a letter from our surety stating our ability to bond suffice this requirement?	Yes, this will be acceptable. For further information, see FAR 52.228-1 Bid Guarantee.
2	Please provide clarification, or an example, of the size of the Past Performance projects (pages 54-55). It is stated that the project experience provided should range from \$2,000 - \$9.9M, but also states it should be equal to or greater than \$300,000.	The selected contractors that will be awarded the MATOC contract should be prepared to take on project that ranges from \$2K - \$9.9M. However, as the majority of the projects that will be awarded is anticipated to be over the SAT (\$250K), the government would like contractors to submit past performance information for evaluation purposes that is over \$300K.
3	The east and south exterior canopies don't have fire protection. Sheet FX101 does not show the fire protection system extend to protect these areas. Does the fire protection need to be extended to protect these canopies?	Qualified Fire Protection Engineer (QFPE) shall determine this requirement per UFC 3-600-01
4	Will the Government accept CPARS ratings in lieu of PPQs so we will not burden our government clients to repeat evaluations already completed?	No
5	Is the schedule included in the 40-page limitation?	Yes it's included.
6	Please provide a sign in sheet from the pre-proposal/site visit	The sign-in sheet was emailed out to all parties in attendance. If you did not receive it, please email carly.larson@us.af.mil
7	Please provide any information provided at the pre-proposal/site visit	The pre-proposal site visit consisted of viewing the demonstration project location. Some areas were not accessible and photos will be provided in Amendment 1. Contractors were advised to read through Sections L and M of the solicitation carefully to ensure proper proposals are submitted for evaluation. No questions were answered on site; contractors were directed to submit questions in writing by 3 business days after the pre-proposal site visit, IAW the solicitation
8	Construction material costs have increased significantly in recent times, and the construction material market continues to be volatile and unpredictable. It is anticipated that construction material costs will continue to increase into the foreseeable future; however, it is not possible to predict how much prices will increase by the time the task order is awarded, the design effort is complete, and/or construction commences. To protect both the Government and the Contractor, would the Government consider incorporating FAR 52.216-4 Economic Price Adjustment-Labor and Material or a substantially similar and suitable price adjustment clause in the FOPR and resulting task order to allow for an adjustment to the FFP in the event of significant and unpredictable material costs fluctuation during the term of the task order? Inclusion of this clause will protect and benefit the Government because the offered prices will not need to account for the elevated risk of unknown future material cost escalations.	If the need for this clause is determined to be necessary during Fiscal Year 23 (Oct 1 - Sep 30), the government will consider adding this clause as a modification to the base contract or include this clause in each released Task Order Proposal Request.
9	Will the government accept projects that are considered 50% complete for the general requirement construction section? Do submitted projects for past performance have to be 100% complete? If not, what percent completion do they need to have achieved at the time of proposal submittal?	Submitted projects for past performance evaluation shall be 100% complete.
10	Will the government please provide a sample CSI breakdown format/sheet for the requirement in Project Content 48 Divisions of the Construction Specification Institute (CSI) evaluation (Subfactor 1)	See attachment 6 CSI Breakdown Example.
11	Please consider projects in a 10-year range instead of the current six-year range in order to allow the offeror to provide our best portfolio of projects for consideration	The government is not going to increase the range for the Past Performance Information. Please see Section L - Part III Past Performance
12	Does this IDIQ MATOC require awardees to bid every task order	Please see Section L-3(e) - Annual Performance Review ("Off-Ramp")
13	For the sample project, please provide the work week model expected or anticipated to be used.	Monday through Friday, 8:00AM to 5:00PM (regular normal working hours)
14	This submittal is due right after Government year-end. Please consider allowing CPAR ratings and/or previously submitted PPQ forms (standard USACE/NAVFAC) to be included in the submittal in order to alleviate the undue burden of re-evaluating projects on our already overworked federal clients during this time	Please see response on question #4.
15	Proposal Submittal: Per page 53 of the solicitation, please confirm that Parts I, II, and III shall be submitted as separate .pdfs when submitting our proposal via email	Yes, please submit each volume as a separate PDF
16	Section B- Supplies or Services & Prices or Costs is located on page 4 of the solicitation. Should we include a blank copy of page 4 and submit it with our proposal along with a completed Demonstration Bid Schedule and Evaluation/Award Criteria form that was included as an attachment labeled "2D JFSD210011 Demonstration Project Bid Schedule Jul 2021	No - the contractor can solely use "2D JFSD210011 Demonstration Project Bid Schedule Jul 2021" to submit their pricing information for the Demonstration Project.
17	Are cover letters allowed to be submitted?	Yes, however, please be advised that this will be counted towards the page limitation.

18	Duplication of Projects: Can a project submitted for Subfactor 1 also be submitted under Subfactor 2 if it meets the criteria for both?	Yes
19	On page 55 of the solicitation, under section ii. Federal, State, Local Government and Commercial Contracts (Subfactor 2), it is stated that we are to include, "no less than one (1) but not more than three (3) construction projects of varying disciplines equal to or greater than \$300,000 each in scope and magnitude on DOD military installations, Homeland Security, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), other Federal Government agencies, State and local government agencies and commercial customers, within 5 years of release of this solicitation. The Department of Defense includes but is not limited to U.S. Department of the Army, U.S. Department of the Navy, U.S. Department of the Air Force, and U.S. Space Force." However, in Section M on page 66, subsection B. Federal, State, Local Government and Commercial Contracts (element 2--see section L-2.3): "The contractor must provide a minimum of one (1) but not more than three (3) construction projects showing experience of varying disciplines equal to or greater than \$300,000.00 each on DOD military installations, Homeland Security, or the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) within the last five (5) years. The Department of Defense includes U.S. Department of the Army, the U.S. Department of the Navy, and the U.S. Department of the Air Force." In Section M, "other Federal Government agencies, State and local government agencies and commercial customers" was left out. Please confirm that we are allowed to use projects from other Federal Government agencies, State and local government agencies and commercial customers, such as the General Services Administration, National Park Service, etc.	Yes
20	Per page 56 of the solicitation, "The offeror shall provide proof from the offeror's bonding company that their firm has, as a minimum, \$9,999,999.00 in available bonding capability in accordance with Contract Clause FAR 52.228-1." Can this proof be in the form of a Bondability Letter issued by our bonding company? Also, please confirm where our Bondability Letter should be included with our proposal. Should we include it with Part I or with Part III?	Question Part 1 - See reponse on question #1 Question Part 2 - Included the "Bondability Letter" with Part 1 - Price Proposal
21	RFI Due Date Extension: We respectfully request an extension to the due date to ask RFIs so that our subcontractors have enough time to submit questions to us after the site visit	The due date to submit questions has been extended; see Amendment 01.
22	Division 2 Heading: On page 2 of the specifications in the Table of Contents, the Division 2 heading is listed as "Division 2- Site Work". Should the heading be changed to "Division 2- Existing Conditions?"	Please see revised MATOC Demonstration Project Specifications (Aug 2022).
23	Executive Summary: On page 55 of the solicitation, subsection L-2.4 #4, "Technical proposals shall be limited to 40 pages excluding the Executive Summary and the Sample project specifications." We cannot locate any instructions regarding an "Executive Summary" on page 53 Section PART II Technical. Could you please clarify what information you would like us to provide?	The name, location, and mission of your company. A description of your company, including management, advisors, and brief history. Your product or service, where your product fits in this market, and how your product compares or differs from competitors in the industry.
24	Will this contract contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI)?	No
25	DFARS 252.204-7012 states that contractor shall implement NIST 800-171. Part of being compliant with NIST 800-171 is a self-assessment. What is the required self-assessment score for this contract?	The government doesn't anticipate providing any information that is classified as CUI on this solicitation. If the need arises in the future, the requirement of DFARS 252.204-7020, shall be adhered to by contractors. For further information on the assessment, SPRS score, and or more information regarding this program is requested, please go to: <a href="https://www.acq.osd.mil/asda/dpc/cp/cyber/safeguarding.html#nistSP800171">https://www.acq.osd.mil/asda/dpc/cp/cyber/safeguarding.html#nistSP800171</a>
26	Will this contract contain DFARS 252.204-7020? If this contract will contain DFARS 252.204-7020, will 319 CONS be verifying the contractor Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) scores?	See response on Question # 25
38	NIST 800-171 security requirement 3.4.8 calls for least functionality authorized software / whitelisting. Can you please provide a list of the whitelisted or authorized software? a. Are we required to implement application whitelisting before contract award?	See response on Question # 25
39	Will this contract require submission of a System Security Plan in accordance with NIST 800-171 Section 3.12.4?	No
40	Please confirm/clarify that the project viewed at the site visit, and in the solicitation, will not be awarded	The demonstration project will not be awarded.
41	Please confirm/clarify that we are only to provide a lump sum for the project as a whole	The bid schedule (Attachment 2D) has two CLINs (001 and 002). Each CLIN must be priced separately and then added together for a total price
42	Can the page limit be increased to 65 pages for the Part II-Technical?	The limitation has been increased from 40 pages to 50 pages.
43	Who is the controls contractor on the GFAFB?	Honeywell

44	Do drawings contain full building fire suppression as-builts? If not, can a full set be provided?	There are no fire suppression as-builts.
45	Will full time supervision be required for the duration of the project?	Prime contractor will supply superintendent, government will provide escort into controlled areas of facility with notice.
46	<p>Please provide some clarity for the Part III – Past Performance Information submittal and evaluation sections (L and M) of the RFP. In section L, this section includes the following headings:</p> <p>(a) Provide past performance information  (b) Performance Surveys  (c) Section 2 of the Past Performance Questionnaire  (d) Relevant Construction Experience</p> <p>i. General Project Construction Experience (Subfactor 1)  a. General Project Design/Build Experience  ii. Federal, S</p> <p>In order to provide a responsive proposal, please confirm that we can submit three to six construction experiences to meet these requirements listed above and that the expectation is to not submit some projects under subfactor 1 and some projects under subfactor 2.</p>	Confirmed: Submit three to six construction experiences to meet these requirements listed above and that the expectation is to not submit some projects under subfactor 1 and some projects under subfactor 2.
47	<p>Please provide some clarity for the Part III – Past Performance Information submittal and evaluation sections (L and M) of the RFP. In section L, are we to provide three to six projects to meet the conditions of i. General Project Construction Experience (Subfactor 1) and an additional one to three projects to meet the criteria in ii. Federal, State, Local Government and Commercial Contracts (Subfactor 2)? Or is it acceptable to submit projects to meet both criteria?</p>	It is acceptable to submit projects to meet both criteria.
48	Section M-5.3 Past Performance Factor mentions utilizing the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) in past performance evaluation. Please consider allowing clients to use the CPAR ratings in lieu of the provided Past Performance Questionnaire, to prevent an undue burden on our clients of having to repeat an evaluation during this very busy time of year.	Addressed in Q&A Part 1
49	Address DFARS 252.204-7012 "Safeguarding Covered Defense Information and Cyber Incident Reporting and CUI requirements	See response on Question # 25
50	If our firm was part of a joint venture on a completed contract, can we use that project for the past performance requirement of this solicitation?	The government is looking into this question and a response will be provided asap.
51	Contractor could not locate example of the CSI Breakdown (Question 10). Was this provided	Attached as Attachment 6
53	Question 22 response states a revised MATOC Demonstration Project Specifications (Aug 2022) will be issued. Was an updated Specification provided?	Attachment updated within this amendment
54	This submittal is due right after Government year-end. Please consider a two- or three-week extension for the proposal due date.	The gov't has extended the solicitation due date to 12 Oct 22.
55	5. Question 23 from the Q&A provided on 31 Aug 22 mentions the "Executive Summary" and clarifies the expected response. Please further clarify where this section should go in the Part II Technical (before Parts 1-3?) and also please clarify if it is counted in the page restriction of this section.	Yes it is counted in the page restriction. Place executive summary at the beginning of Part II
56	4. Question 18 from the Q&A provided on 31 Aug 22 discusses duplication of projects in Part III Past Performance. Please further clarify how this section should be laid out	Contractor's preference. Consider laying out in order presented beginning on page 54 of the solicitation.
51	3. Question 18 from the Q&A provided on 31 Aug 22 discusses duplication of projects in Part III Past Performance. Please consider allowing us to denote on the project that it meets both requirements of subfactor 1 and 2 instead of submitting the project twice.	Acceptable